Organization IC 10UU BIdg./Room REMISEN U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450

32 14 VO 22 SEP 10 C MALLED FROM ZIP CODE 2

ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450 IF UNDELIVERABLE RETURN IN TEN DAYS

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

OFFICIAL BUSINESS





ECH CENTER 1600/2900







UNITED STATES PATENT AND INADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/052,586	01/15/2002	Kevin P. Baker	P3430R1C1	9888
75	590 09/10/2004		EXAM	INER
Ginger R. Dre	ger		LANDSMAN	, ROBERT S
Suite 1150				
201 California	Street		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Francisco,	CA 94111-3335		1647	
			DATE MAILED: 09/10/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
`		10/052,586	BAKER ET AL.
,	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Robert Landsman	1647
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•	
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.
Dispositi	on of Claims		
4) 🛛	Claim(s) 25-37 is/are pending in the application		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>25-37</u> is/are rejected.		•
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	
Applicati	on Papers		
9)🖂 -	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•	
	The drawing(s) filed on 15 January 2002 is/are:		to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d		·
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is obje	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign partial All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureause the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application by documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage
Attachment	(s)		
) X Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary (I	PTO-413)
2) Notice	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	e´.
Paper	Alion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 1/14/03.	5) ∐ Notice of Informal Pa 6) ⊠ Other: <u>Sca บ</u> ะก <i>ะ</i> เ	tent Application (PTO-152) Compansons A and B

DETAILED ACTION

1. Formal Matters

- A. The Preliminary Amendment dated 6/4/02, has been entered into the record.
- B. The Information Disclosure Statement dated 1/14/03 has been entered into the record.
- C. Claims 25-37 are pending and are the subject of this Office Action.

2. Priority

The Examiner has concluded that the subject matter defined in this application is not supported by any of the applications in the chain of priority because the presently claimed subject matter is not supported by a specific, substantial or well-established utility, nor, for this reason, is it enabled. Accordingly, the subject matter defined in claims 25-37 has an effective filing date of 1/15/02, which is the filing date of the present application.

Should the applicant disagree with the examiner's factual determination above, it is incumbent upon the applicant to provide the serial number and specific page number(s) of any parent application filed prior to 1/15/02 which specifically supports the particular claim limitation for each and every claim limitation in all the pending claims which applicant considers to have been in possession of and fully enabled for prior to 1/15/02.

3. Information Disclosure Statement

A. References 3 and 4 on the IDS dated 1/14/03 have been lined through since they are not in proper format, including author and accession number.

4. Specification

- A. Though none could be found, due to the length of the specification, Applicants are reminded that embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code are not permitted in the specification. See MPEP § 608.01.
- B. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. The title recites polypeptides and polynucleotides whereas the claims are drawn to polypeptides.

Application/Control Number: 10/052,586 Page 3

Art Unit: 1647

5. Claim Objections

A. The syntax of claims 25-37 could be improved by replacing the phrase "shown in Figure 4 (SEQ ID NO:4)" with "of SEQ ID NO:4."

6. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

A. Claims 25-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is not supported by a specific, substantial and credible asserted utility or a well-established utility. These claims are directed to polypeptides having various sequence homology to SEQ ID NO:4. However, the invention encompassed by these claims has no apparent or disclosed patentable utility. This rejection is consistent with the current utility guidelines, published 1/5/01, 66 FR 1092. The instant application has provided a description of an isolated protein. However, the instant application does not disclose a specific and substantial biological role of this protein or its significance.

However, it is clear from the instant specification that the claimed protein is what is termed an "orphan receptor" in the art. The instant application does not disclose the biological role of the claimed protein or any associated disease state. The present claims are drawn to PRO284 proteins whereas the only potential utility is drawn to gene amplification data regarding the genomic DNA which, ultimately, encodes PRO284. The fact that gene amplification may be an essential mechanism for oncogene activation is, respectfully, not relevant to the present claims which, again, are drawn to proteins. The fact that genomic DNA was isolated from a variety of cancers does not provide a utility for the proteins encoded by the DNA since Applicants have not demonstrated that the increase in genomic DNA would ultimately lead to an increase in protein expression in these cancer cells, which would be required in this situation in order for the proteins of the present invention to have a utility.

Furthermore, since the protein of the invention is not supported by a specific and substantial asserted utility or a well established utility, the encoding polynucleotides and chimeric proteins also lack utility.

Application/Control Number: 10/052,586

Art Unit: 1647

7. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, first paragraph - enablement

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- A. Claims 25-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to adequately teach how to use the instant invention. Specifically, since the claimed invention is not supported by a specific, substantial and credible asserted utility or a well established utility for the reasons set forth above, one skilled in the art clearly would not know how to use the claimed invention.
- B. Furthermore, even if the claims possessed utility under 35 USC 101, claims 25-37 would still be rejected under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while then being enabling for SEQ ID NO:3 and 4, does not reasonably provide enablement for polypeptides having at least 80%, 85%, 90%, 95% or 99% sequence identity to SEQ ID NO:4, to the protein encoded by ATCC No. 209787, for the extracellular domain thereof, or for fusion proteins. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. There is no functional limitation in the claims. The claims encompass an unreasonable number of inoperative polypeptides, or polynucleotides which encode these polypeptides, which the skilled artisan would not know how to use.

There are no working examples of polynucleotides or polypeptides less than 100% identical to SEQ ID NO:3 or 4, or the mature form thereof (i.e. lacking its signal peptide). The skilled artisan would not know how to use non-identical polypeptides on the basis of teachings in the prior art or specification unless they possessed a specific function disclosed in the instant specification, in which there is none. While the specification generally describes homologous proteins, Applicants still have not taught to which family of proteins the protein of the present invention belongs. The specification does not provide guidance for using polynucleotides encoding polypeptides related to (i.e., 80%-99% identity) but not identical to SEQ ID NO:3 or 4 which do not have any specific, known function. The claims are broad because they do not require the claimed polypeptide to be identical to the disclosed sequence and because the claims have no functional limitation.

Application/Control Number: 10/052,586

Art Unit: 1647

For these reasons, which include the complexity and unpredictability of the nature of the invention and art in terms of the diversity of proteases and lack of knowledge about function(s) of encompassed polypeptides structurally related to SEQ ID NO:4, the lack of direction or guidance for using polypeptides that are not identical to SEQ ID NO:4, and the breadth of the claims for structure without function, it would require undue experimentation to use the invention commensurate in scope with the claims.

8. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, first paragraph - written description

A. Claims 25-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The claims are drawn to polypeptides having at least 80%, 85%, 90%, 95% or 99% sequence identity with SEQ ID NO:4, and fusion proteins thereof. The claims do not require that the polypeptide of the present invention possess any particular biological activity, nor any particular conserved structure, or other disclosed distinguishing feature. Thus, the claims are drawn to a genus of polypeptides that is defined only by sequence identity.

To provide adequate written description and evidence of possession of a claimed genus, the specification must provide sufficient distinguishing identifying characteristics of the genus. The factors to be considered include disclosure of compete or partial structure, physical and/or chemical properties, functional characteristics, structure/function correlation, methods of making the claimed product, or any combination thereof. In this case, the only factor present in the claim is a partial structure in the form of a recitation of percent identity. There is not even identification of any particular portion of the structure that must be conserved. Accordingly, in the absence of sufficient recitation of distinguishing identifying characteristics, the specification does not provide adequate written description of the claimed genus.

Vas-Cath Inc. v. Mahurkar, 19USPQ2d 1111, clearly states "applicant must convey with reasonable clarity to those skilled in the art that, as of the filing date sought, he or she was in possession of the invention. The invention is, for purposes of the 'written description' inquiry, whatever is now claimed." (See page 1117.) The specification does not "clearly allow persons of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that [he or she] invented what is claimed." (See Vas-Cath at page 1116). As discussed above, the skilled artisan cannot envision the detailed chemical structure of the encompassed genus of

Application/Control Number: 10/052,586

Art Unit: 1647

polypeptides, and therefore conception is not achieved until reduction to practice has occurred, regardless of the complexity or simplicity of the method of isolation. Adequate written description requires more than a mere statement that it is part of the invention and reference to a potential method of isolating it. The compound itself is required. See *Fiers v. Revel*, 25 USPQ2d 1601 at 1606 (CAFC 1993) and *Amgen Inc. v. Chugai Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd.*, 18 USPQ2d 1016.

One cannot describe what one has not conceived. See *Fiddes v. Baird*, 30 USPQ2d 1481 at 1483. In *Fiddes*, claims directed to mammalian FGF's were found to be unpatentable due to lack of written description for that broad class. The specification provided only the bovine sequence.

Therefore, only isolated polypeptides comprising the amino acid sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO:4, or encoded by SEQ ID NO:3 (or ATCC 209787), but not the full breadth of the claims, meets the written description provision of 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph. Applicant is reminded that *Vas-Cath* makes clear that the written description provision of 35 U.S.C. §112 is severable from its enablement provision (see page 1115).

9. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, second paragraph

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 25-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

A. Claims 25-37 are vague and indefinite since it is not clear whether or not the protein of the present invention is a soluble protein (e.g protease), nor is it disclosed as being expressed on a cell surface. Accordingly, the limitation that the claimed protein comprises an "extracellular domain" is indefinite, as the art does not recognize soluble proteins as having such domains. Further, if the protein had an extracellular domain, the recitation of "the extracellular domain"..."lacking its associated signal sequence" is indefinite as a signal sequence is not generally considered to be part of an extracellular domain, as signal sequences are cleaved from said domains in the process of secretion from the cell.

Art Unit: 1647

10. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

A. Claims 25-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Pluvinet et al. (Accession No. Q9NPR3). The claims recite a polypeptide 80-99% identical to SEQ ID NO:4 of the present invention. Pluvinet teach a protein 99.5% identical to SEQ ID NO:4 (Sequence Comparison A). Pluvinet also teach a polynucleotide 98% identical to SEQ ID NO:3 (i.e. ATCC 209787 – Sequence Comparison B).

11. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

A. Claims 36 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pluvinet et al. The claims recite a polypeptide at least 80% identical to SEQ ID NO:4 fused to an epitope tag. The teachings of Pluvinet are seen in the above rejection under 35 USC 102. Pluvinet does not teach the protein fused to an epitope tag. However, it would have been obvious to the artisan at the time of the present invention to have fused the protein of the present invention to an epitope tag in order to purify the protein. This technique could have been done recombinantly using the DNA encoding the protein.

Art Unit: 1647

12. Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer cannot overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

A. Claims 25-37 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 25-37 of copending Application No. 10/232,232. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

13. Conclusion

A. No claim is allowable.

Advisory information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Landsman whose telephone number is (703) 306-3407. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM (Eastern time) and alternate Fridays from 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM (Eastern time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz, can be reached on (703) 308-4623.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 308-4242. Fax draft or informal communications with the examiner should be directed to (703) 308-0294.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Robert Landsman, Ph.D. Patent Examiner Group 1600 September 02, 2004

HOBERT LANDSMAN PATENT EXAMINER

:•

BY APPLICANT (US) SEVERAL SHEETS IF NECESSARY)				_	APPLICANT Baker et al. FILING DATE January 15, 2002 GROUP 1645					
PATENT &	%									
				U.S. PA	TENT DOCUMENTS			•		
EXAMINER INITIAL		DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE		NAME	(CLASS	SUBCLASS		G DATE (OPRIATE)
m	1	5,536,637	07/16/96	jacobs						
	+									-
					,			F	ECE	IVE
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-							:	JAN 1	5 2003
~~~									H CENT	
	-							IEO	IOLIVIA	
				FOREIGN P	ATENT DOCUMENTS	*		•		
XAMINER INITIAL		DOCUMENT NUMBER DATE			COUNTRY	.   0	LASS	SUBCLASS	TRANS	LATION
		•			•				YES	NO
	<b>-</b>									
		OT	HER DOCUME	NTS (INCLUDI	NG AUTHOR, TITLE, DATE, P	ERTINENT PA	GES, E	TC.)		*
	2.	Klein et al. Selection for Genes I	Encoding Secre	ted Proteins ar	nd Receptors. Proc. Natl. Acad					26
XAMINER INITIAL	3,	Klein et al. Selection for Genes (	Encoding Secre	ted Proteins ar	nd Receptors. <i>Proc. Natl. Acad</i>					
INITIAL	3,	Klein et al. Selection for Genes I	Encoding Secre	ted Proteins ar	nd Receptors. <i>Proc. Natl. Acad</i>					
M	3	Klein et al. Selection for Genes (	Encoding Secre	ted Proteins ar	nd Receptors. <i>Proc. Natl. Acad</i>					

#### Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination Application/Control No. 10/052,586 BAKER ET AL. **Notice of References Cited** Art Unit Examiner Page 1 of 1 1647 Robert Landsman **U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS** Date Document Number Classification Name Country Code-Number-Kind Code MM-YYYY Α US-US-В С US-D US-US-F US-G US-Н US-USi J US-US-K US-L М US-

#### **FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS**

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N					
	0			+		
	Р					
	Q				*	
	R					
	s					
	Т					

#### **NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS**

		TOTAL ATENT DOCUMENTO
*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	U	Pluvinet R., et al. Database SPTREMBL. Accession No. Q9NPR3, 2000.
	٧	Pluvinet R., et al. Database GenEmbl. Accession No. AL390077, 19 July 2000.
	w	
	х	

A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-892 (Rev. 01-2001)

Notice of References Cited 9.2.09

Part of Paper No. 090204

```
285 AA.
ID/AC Q9NPR3
                  PRELIMINARY;
                                  PRT:
   01-OCT-2000 (TrEMBLrel. 15, Created)
DT
                                                      01-OCT-2000 (TrEMBLrel. 15, Last sequence update) \% 01-OCT-2003 (TrEMBLrel. 25, Last annotation update)
DT
DT
    C3orf1 hypothetical protein (Hypothetical protein) (Similar to M5-14
DE
DE
    protein).
    DKFZP564B172.
GN
OS
    Homo sapiens (Human).
    Eukaryota; Metazoa; Chordata; Craniata; Vertebrata; Euteleostomi;
OC
    Mammalia; Eutheria; Primates; Catarrhini; Hominidae; Homo.
OC
OX
    NCBI TaxID=9606;
RN
    [1]
RP
    SEQUENCE FROM N.A.
RA
    Pluvinet R., Estivill X., Escarceller M., Sumoy L.;
    Submitted (JUL-2000) to the EMBL/GenBank/DDBJ databases.
RL
RN
    SEOUENCE FROM N.A.
RP
    Auffray C., Ansorge W., Ballabio A., Estivill X., Gibson K.,
    Lehrach H., Poustka A., Lundeberg J.;
RT
    "The European IMAGE consortium for integrated Molecular analysis of
    human gene transcripts.";
ВŢ
RL
    Submitted (JUL-2000) to the EMBL/GenBank/DDBJ databases.
RN
RΡ
    SEQUENCE FROM N.A.
    TISSUE=Brain;
RC
    Duesterhoeft A., Lauber J., Mewes H.W., Gassenhuber J., Wiemann S.;
RA
RL
    Submitted (JAN-2000) to the EMBL/GenBank/DDBJ databases.
RN
RP
    SEQUENCE FROM N.A.
RC
    TISSUE=B-cell;
RΑ
    Strausberg R.;
    Submitted (AUG-2001) to the EMBL/GenBank/DDBJ databases.
RL
DR
    EMBL; AL390090; CAB98212.1; -.
DR
    EMBL; AL136622; CAB66557.1; -.
    EMBL; BC012341; AAH12341.1; -.
DR
    InterPro; IPR003397; Tim17 Tim22.
    Pfam; PF02466; Tim17; 1.
KW
    Hypothetical protein.
    SEQUENCE 285 AA; 32177 MW; 5AA6474C3ABCCFA2 CRC64;
SO
 Ouery Match
                       99.5%; Score 1485; DB 4; Length 285;
 Best Local Similarity 99.3%; Pred. No. 3.2e-125;
 Matches 283; Conservative
                            2; Mismatches
                                             0: Indels
          1 MEVPPPAPRSFLCRALCLFPRVFAAEAVTADSEVLEERQKRLPYVPEPYYPESGWDRLRE 60
0ν
            Db
           1 MEVPPPAPRSFLCRALCLFPRVFAAEAVTADSEVLEEROKRLPYVPEPYYPESGWDRLRE 60
          61 LFGKDEQQRISKDLANICKTAATAGIIGWVYGGIPAFIHAKQQYIEQSQAEIYHNRFDAV 120
Qу
            61 LFGKDEQQRISKDLANICKTAATAGIIGWVYGGIPAFIHAKQQYIEQSQAEIYHNRFDAV 120
Db
         121 QSAHRAATRGFIRYGWRWGWRTAVFVTIFNTVNTSLNVYRNKDALSHFVIAGAVTGSLFR 180
            121 OSAHRAATRGFIRYGWRWGWRTAVFVTIFNTVNTSLNVYRNKDALSHFVIAGAVTGSLFR 180
Db
         181 INVGLRGLVAGGIIGALLGTPVGGLLMAFQKYAGETVQERKQKDRKALHELKLEEWKGRL 240
0ν
            Db
         181 INVGLRGLVAGGIIGALLGTPVGGLLMAFQKYSGETVQERKQKDRKALHELKLEEWKGRL 240
         241 QVTEHLPEKIESSLREDEPENDAKKIEALLNLPRNPSVIDKODKD 285
Qу
            Db
         241 QVTEHLPEKIESSLQEDEPENDAKKIEALLNLPRNPSVIDKQDKD 285
```

```
PRI 19-JUL-2000
                                     1352 bp
                                                mRNA
                                                        linear
LOCUS
            IRO713100
            Homo sapiens mRNA full length insert cDNA clone EUROIMAGE 713100.
DEFINITION
ACCESSION
            AL390077
            AL390077.1 GI:9368517
VERSION
            FLI CDNA.
KEYWORDS
SOURCE
            Homo sapiens (human)
  ORGANISM
            Homo sapiens
            Eukaryota; Metazoa; Chordata; Craniata; Vertebrata; Euteleostomi;
            Mammalia; Eutheria; Primates; Catarrhini; Hominidae; Homo.
REFERENCE
            1
            Auffray, C., Ansorge, W., Ballabio, A., Estivill, X., Gibson, K.,
  AUTHORS
            Lehrach, H., Poustka, A. and Lundeberg, J.
            The European IMAGE consortium for integrated Molecular analysis of
  TITLE
            human gene transcripts
  JOURNAL
            Unpublished
REFERENCE
            2 (bases 1 to 1352)
  AUTHORS
            Pluvinet, R., Estivill, X., Escarceller, M. and Sumoy, L.
            Direct Submission
  TITLE
            Submitted (18-JUL-2000) Dept. Genetica Molecular, Institut de
  JOURNAL
            Recerca Oncologica (IRO), Hospital Duran i Reynals, Av. Gran Via
            s/n Km 2,7 L'Hospitalet de Llobregat, 08907 Barcelona, Catalunya,
            SPAIN. Tel: ++34-93-260-7775 Fax: ++34-93-260-7776 WWW site:
            http://www.iro.es e-mail enquiries: lsumoy@iro.es
            EURO-IMAGE Consortium Contact: Auffray C
COMMENT
            CNRS UPR 420 - Genetique Moleculaire et Biologie du Developement
            IFR 1221 - Rue Guy Moquet 19, Batiment G - BP 8
            94801 Villejuif Cedex, FRANCE
            Tel: ++33-1-49 58 34 98
            Fax: ++33-1-49 58 35 09
            e-mail: auffray@infobiogen.fr
            This clone is available royalty-free through IMAGE Consortium
            Distributors.
            IMPORTANT: This sequence represents the full insert of this IMAGE
            cDNA clone. No attempt has been made to verify whether this
            corresponds to the full-length of the original mRNA from which it
            was derived.
FEATURES
                     Location/Qualifiers
                     1. .1352
     source
                     /organism="Homo sapiens"
                     /mol type="mRNA"
                     /db xref="RZPD:IMAGp998F131747"
                     /db xref="taxon:9606"
                     /chromosome="3"
                     /clone="IMAGE cDNA clone 713100"
                     /clone lib="NCI CGAP_GCB1"
     CDS
                     166. .1023
                     /codon_start≈1
                     /product="c3orf1 hypothetical protein, M5-14 similar to
                     (AE003703)140up gene product [Drosophila melanogaster]"
                     /protein id="CAB98201.1"
                     /db xref="GI:9368518"
                     /db_xref="SPTREMBL:Q9NPL8"
                     translation="MEVPPPAPRSFLCRALCLFPRVFAAEAVTADSEVLEERQKRLPY/
                     VPEPYYPESGWDRLRELFGKDEQQRISKDLADICKTAATAGIIGWVYGGIPAFIHAKQ
                     QYIEQSQAEIYHNRFDAVQSAHRAATRGFIRYGWRWGWRTAVFVTIFNTVNTSLNVYR
                     NKDALSHFVIAGAVTGSLFRINVGLRGLVAGGIIGALLGTPVGGLLMAFQKYSGETIQ
                     ERKQKDRKALHELKLEEWKGRLQVTEHLPEKIESSLQEDEPENDAKKIEALLNLPRNP
                     SVIDKQDKD"
ORIGIN
 Query Match
                          98.0%; Score 1323.4; DB 9; Length 1352;
 Best Local Similarity
                          99.5%; Pred. No. 0;
```

0; Mismatches

6; Indels

0; Gaps

0;

Matches 1327; Conservative

Qy	2	AGCGAGGCCGGGGACTGAAGGTGTGGGTGTCGAGCCCTCTGGCAGAGGGTTAACCTGGGT	61
Db	1	AGCGAGGCCGGGGACTGAAGGTGTGGGTGTCGAGCCCTCTGGCAGAGGGTTAACCTGGGT	60
Qy	62	CAAATGCACGGATTCTCACCTCGTACAGTTACGCTCTCCCGCGGCACGTCCGCGAGGACT	121
Db	61	CAAATGCACGGATTCTCACCTCGTACAGTTACGCTCTCCCGCGGCACGTCCGCGAGGACT	120
Qу	122	TGAAGTCCTGAGCGCTCAAGTTTGTCCGTAGGTCGAGAGAAGGCCATGGAGGTGCCGCCA	181
Db	121	TGAAGTCCTGAGCGCTCAAGTTTGTCCGTAGGTCGAGAGAAGGCCATGGAGGTGCCGCCA	180
Qy	182	CCGGCACCGCGGAGCTTTCTCTGTAGAGCATTGTGCCTATTTCCCCGAGTCTTTGCTGCC	241
Db	181	CCGGCACCGCGGAGCTTTCTCTGTAGAGCATTGTGCCTATTTCCCCGAGTCTTTGCTGCC	240
Qу	242	GAAGCTGTGACTGCCGATTCGGAAGTCCTTGAGGAGCGTCAGAAGCGGCTTCCCTACGTC	301
Db	241	ĠĂĂĠĊŤĠŤĠĂĊŤĠĊĊĠĂŤŤĊĠĠĂĂĠŤĊĊŤŤĠĂĠĠAĠĊĠŦĊAĠAAĠĊĠĊŦŦĊĊĊŦAĊĠŦĊ	300
Qу	302	CCAGAGCCCTATTACCCGGAATCTGGATGGGACCGCCTCCGGGAGCTGTTTGGCAAAGAT	361
Db	301		360
Qу	362	GAACAGCAGAGAATTTCAAAGGACCTTGCTAATATCTGTAAGACGGCAGCTACAGCAGGC	421
Db	361	GAACAGCAGAGAATTTCAAAGGACCTTGCTGATATCTGTAAGACGGCAGCTACAGCAGGC	420
Qу	422	ATCATTGGCTGGGTGTATGGGGGAATACCAGCTTTTATTCATGCTAAACAACAATACATT	481
Db	421	ATCATTGGCTGGGTGTATGGGGGAATACCAGCTTTTATTCATGCTAAACAACAATACATT	480
Qу	482	GAGCAGAGCCAGGCAGAAATTTATCATAACCGGTTTGATGCTGTGCAATCTGCACATCGT	541
Db	481	GAGCAGAGCCAGGCAGAAATTTATCATAACCGGTTTGATGCTGTGCAATCTGCACATCGT	540
Qу	542	GCTGCCACACGAGGCTTCATTCGTTATGGCTGGCGCTGGGGTTGGAGAACTGCAGTGTTT	601
Db	541	GCTGCCACACGAGGCTTCATTCGTTATGGCTGGCGCTGGGGTTGGAGAACTGCAGTGTTT	600
Qу	602	GTGACTATATTCAACACAGTGAACACTAGTCTGAATGTATACCGAAATAAAGATGCCTTA	661
Db	601	GTGACTATATTCAACACAGTGAACACTAGTCTGAATGTATACCGAAATAAAGATGCCTTA	660
Qу	662	AGCCATTTGTAATTGCAGGAGCTGTCACGGGAAGTCTTTTTAGGATAAACGTAGGCCTG	721
Db	661	AGCCATTTTGTAATTGCAGGAGCTGTCACGGGAAGTCTTTTTAGGATAAACGTAGGCCTG	720
Qy	722	CGTGGCCTGGTGGCATAATTGGAGCCTTGCTGGGCACTCCTGTAGGAGGCCTG	781
Db	721	CGTGGCCTGGTGGCATAATTGGAGCCTTGCTGGGCACTCCTGTAGGAGGCCTG	780
Qу	782	CTGATGGCATTTCAGAAGTACGCTGGTGAGACTGTTCAGGAAAGAAA	841
Db	781	CTGATGGCATTTCAGAAGTACTCTGGTGAGACTATTCAGGAAAGAAA	840
Qу	842	AAGGCACTCCATGAGCTAAAACTGGAAGAGTGGAAAGGCAGACTACAAGTTACTGAGCAC	901
Db	841	AAGGCACTCCATGAGCTAAAACTGGAAGAGTGGAAAAGGCAGACTACAAGTTACTGAGCAC	900
Qy	902	CTCCCTGAGAAATTGAAAGTAGTTTACGGGAAGATGAACCTGAGAATGATGCTAAGAAA	961
Db	901	$\tt CTCCCTGAGAAAATTGAAAGTAGTTTACAGGAAGATGAACCTGAGAATGATGCTAAGAAA$	960



Qy	962	ATTGAAGCACTGCTAAACCTTCCTAGAAACCCTTCAGTAATAGATAAACAAGACAAGGAC 1021
Db	961	ATTGAAGCACTGCTAAACCTTCCTAGAAACCCTTCAGTAATAGATAAACAAGACAAGGAC 1020
Qy	1022	TGAAAGTGCTCTGAACTTGAAACTCACTGGAGAGCTGAAGGGAGCTGCCATGTCCGATGA 1081
Db	1021	
Qy	1082	ATGCCAACAGACAGGCCACTCTTTGGTCAGCCTGCTGACAAATTTAAGTGCTGGTACCTG 1141
Db	1081	ATGCCAACAGACAGGCCACTCTTTGGTCAGCCTGCTGACAAATTTAAGTGCTGGTACCTG 1140
Qy	1142	TGGTGGCAGTGGCTTGCTCTTTTCTTTTCTTTTTAACTAAGAATGGGGCTGTTG 1201
Db	1141	TGGTGGCAGTGGCTTGTCTTTTTCTTTTTTTTTTTAACTAAGAATGGGGCTGTTG 1200
Qy	1202	TACTCTCACTTTACTTTATCCTTAAATTTAAATACATAC
Db	1201	TACTCTCACTTTACTTATCCTTAAATTTAAATACATACTTATGTTTGTATTAATCTATCA 1260
Qy	1262	ATATATGCATACATGGATATATCCACCCACCTAGATTTTAAGCAGTAAATAAA
Db	1261	
Qy	1322	GCAAAAGATTAAA 1334
Db	1321	